



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,160	03/01/2004	Subash Kalbarga	60046.0068US01	9971
7590		06/25/2008	EXAMINER	
Hope Baldauff Hartman, LLC Suite 1010 1720 Peachtree Street., N.W. Atlanta, GA 30309			GUPTA, MUKTESH G	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2144			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
06/25/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/790,160	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KALBARGA, SUBASH
	<b>Examiner</b> Muktesh G. Gupta	<b>Art Unit</b> 2144

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. **Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 11-13, 16, and 18-20** are amended.

**Claim 2**, is cancelled.

**Claims 1-20** have been examined on merits and are pending in this application.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. An initialed and dated copy of the information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 03/17/2008, 02/18/2008, 11/14/2007, 11/05/2007, 05/22/2007, 11/03/2006, 05/26/2006, 11/10/2005, 04/11/2005 01/31/2005, 10/21/2004 and 05/24/2004 are being considered by the examiner and are attached to this office action.

***Response to Amendment***

3. Applicant's **Amendment to Specification** is acknowledged.
4. Applicant's amendment filed on 03/17/2008 necessitated a new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of the following new grounds of rejection as explained here below, necessitated by Applicant's substantial amendment (i.e., emulating a device at the management device, the emulated device conforming to a second communication standard) to the claims which significantly affected the scope thereof.

Applicant's arguments with respect to **Claims 1-20** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

**5. Claims 1-20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication No. 20030226015 to Neufeld et al., (hereinafter "Neufeld"), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6560641 to Powderly; Terrence W. et al., (hereinafter "Powderly").

*As to Claims 1,7-9, and 14-16, Neufeld anticipates method and system for communicating with a computer management device, computer-readable medium having computer executable instructions stored thereon, the method comprising (as stated in par. 0034, lines 2-3, par. 0035, lines 1-2, **managed server (with computer management device) connected and communicating with a remote console** by a network, virtually **any** sort of **network** capable of **transmitting/receiving (communicating) data between two devices**):*

*defining, at a host computer managed by the computer management device, one or more vendor specific said vendor specific commands conforming to a first communication standard (as stated in par. 0016, lines 8-10, remote management*

solutions combine the advantages of server management computer system hosted in the managed server with remote management tools which include the capability to capture video data and reset sequences (vendor specific commands) from the managed server for remote display or replay at a later time on remote console. The capture of video data is facilitated by the close integration of a remote management tool with the managed server and the ability of the remote management tool to communicate with the managed server over existing communication links such as an industry standard PCI bus);

emulating a device at the management device, the emulated device conforming to a second communication standard (as stated in par. 0064, lines 1-4, par. 0062, lines 1-10, par. 0057, lines 1-4, and par. 0015, lines 6-10, Neufeld anticipates, wide range of **USB devices** and **virtual USB peripherals** could be **emulated** by the **IOP**, input output processor of the **remote server management controller** via the **USB interface**, **USB host controller**, **RS-232 interface**, **USB Ethernet controllers**, **SCSI controllers**, attached **storage devices**, **ATB unit**, **address translation bus** for **communicating** between plural **types of devices** . **Users** on **remote console** may **connect** and **communicate remotely** to the **remote server management controller** via the **Ethernet interface** or **modem**, using a remote console **application** running on a **remote console** anywhere on the network that includes managed server. Network management tool is able to capture the maximum amount of information from a managed server in the maximum range of operational states of the server (for example,

(1) in-band online; (2) in-band offline; (3) out-of-band online; and (4) out-of-band offline) and to allow control of the managed server based on that data.

Powderly also in particular teaches system, method, and adapter card for providing emulation (i.e., remote control) of a console of a host computer system from another computer system remotely located on a network, including in particular, remote control of a peripheral device, such as a data storage device, connected to the host computer system over a second communication channel as stated in col. 2, lines 36-41);

transmitting, from the host computer, the one or more vendor specific commands to the emulated device over a communications link between the host computer and the management device, the communications link conforming to the second communication standard (as stated in par. 000017, lines 1-10, Neufeld anticipates a typical remote management system, a user typically, a member of the network management team can initiate an out-of-band session with the dedicated server management computer hosted in the managed server via a remote console application program being executed on a client computer. The management computer could be addressed by the user to control various aspects of the operation of the managed server via control circuitry connected to the embedded server management computer hosted by the managed server.

Powderly also teaches, host system with adapter card comprises a processor, a network interface controller providing a connection to the network, a peripheral device interface controller to which the peripheral device is connected, a communications client

*program executing on the processor, and at least one computer-readable medium having stored therein a modified BIOS extension for said peripheral device interface controller. The modified BIOS extension comprises first program code and second program code, the second program code being embedded within the first program code and defining a separate server program, as stated in col. 2, lines 42-52);*

*receiving the one or more vendor specific commands at the management device*

(as stated in par. 0064, lines 1-4 and par. 0062, lines 1-10, Neufeld anticipates, **users** engage in **out-of-band communication** with the **remote server management controller** for the purpose of **accessing** emulated **devices**, **diagnosing**, **correcting** and/or **preventing problems** with the **managed server**.

*Powderly also teaches, upon selection by a user at the remote computer system, the modified BIOS extension is loaded into the host memory during execution of the host computer system BIOS, in place of the standard BIOS extension for the peripheral device interface controller. When executed by the host processor, the first program code of the BIOS extension (i) copies the second program code defining the server program to a new location in the host memory, and then (ii) hooks the new location of the server program to an interrupt of the host computer system to cause the host processor to initiate execution of the server program on the host computer system upon a subsequent occurrence of that interrupt, as stated in col. 2, lines 52-64);*

*determining, at the management device, whether the one or more vendor specific commands are intended for the emulated device* (as stated in par. 0063, lines 1-15, Neufeld anticipates, users **commands** interpreted by remote server management

controller may establish "virtual USB peripherals" that will be seen recognized and allow communication with any USB-aware OS on managed servers);

Powderly also teaches, server program establishes communications with the communications client program on the adapter card, and, thereafter, upon receipt of requests from the communications client program, invokes functions of the host computer system BIOS to control the peripheral device as stated in col. 2, lines 64-67, col. 3, line 1);

and in response to determining that the one or more vendor specific commands are not intended for the emulated device, utilizing the received vendor specific commands for communicating with the management device (as stated in par. 0053, lines 1-15, Neufeld anticipates, **remote server management controller's** I/O controller monitors and controls a wide range of conditions in the managed server via the slave instrumentation module and the remote console redirection module.

Powderly also teaches, When the host system BIOS executes its power-on self-test (POST), it searches for any BIOS extension code provided on any adapter cards connected to its input/output bus. If a BIOS extension is located, the host loads the BIOS extension code into its host memory for execution (a process sometimes referred to as "shadowing"). After executing the BIOS extension code, the system BIOS completes its normal POST execution, which typically concludes with the booting of the host operating system, as stated in col. 4, lines 46-54).

*It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Neufeld's **remote server management controller**, which is a PCI*

***bus card to incorporate Powderly's Adapter card, also a PCI card with Peripheral Device Interface Controller, both connected to host computer I/O PCI bus for remote emulation of host systems and its attached peripherals control in absence of Host operating system through first and second communication standard.***

*The motivation would have been for guaranteed control and emulation of Host or Managed servers through First and Second communication standards.*

*Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine these two references of Neufeld's and Powderly's disclosure in light of guaranteed communication control for various types of device with different device interfaces.*

**As to Claims 3, 12 and 19, Neufeld anticipates method and system of Claims 1, 9 and 16, wherein utilizing the received vendor specific commands for communicating with the management device in response to determining that the one or more vendor specific commands are not intended for the emulated device comprises utilizing data contained in the received vendor specific commands to configure the management device** (as stated in par. 0053, lines 1-15, specific commands from users to remote server management controller's are processed by an independent computer system's embedded I/O controller which includes an Input/Output processor ("IOP"), and provides general control and functions as a management processor for the remote server management controller configuration and management.

*Powderly also teaches, administrative, configuration and control function choices are presented to the user as HyperText Markup Language (HTML) pages that the Web*

*server serves to the remote client program, on implementation of program code that the processor 26 executes. The program code is preferably stored in flash memory 38. The first of these processes comprises a Web server that enables a user to interact with the adapter card 18 from the remote client program for purposes of administration, configuration and control of the adapter card 18, as stated in col. 8, lines 23-26, and lines 18-23).*

*Examiner uses same rational as of preceding Claims to combine the references of Neufeld's and Powderly's disclosures.*

**As to Claim 4,** Neufeld anticipates method of Claim 3, wherein utilizing data contained in the received vendor specific commands to configure the management device comprises

*setting a network address of the management device based upon contents of the received vendor specific commands (as stated in par. 0059, lines 1-10 embedded I/O controller provides a plurality of communication interfaces that can be employed to establish out-of-band communication sessions with the remote server management controller. Users may connect remotely to the remote server management controller via the communication interface is a UART interface module 174, which is operatively coupled to internal local bus 166. The exemplary UART interface module 174 comprises two standard 16550 UARTs, each of which may provide a separate serial communication interface. Both UARTs are mapped into the address space of the IOP 156 and can be accessed via the PCI bus 172 or by the*

IOP 156. Either UART may be implemented so that it can be reset through a control register in the address space of the IOP 156).

Powderly also teaches, selecting the Update Software option invokes a procedure in the config\_RPM on the adapter card 18 that allows the user to transfer new software (i.e., program code) to the adapter card 18 in order to, for example, upgrade the software on the adapter card 18. The Configure Board option brings up an additional HTML page 112 that provides certain configuration options, such as, for example, the ability to set the IP address of the adapter card 18. As illustrated, that option would invoke a procedure in the config\_RPM on the adapter card 18 which would store the new IP address in the appropriate location on the adapter card 18, as stated in col. 18, lines 16-27).

Examiner uses same rational as of preceding Claims to combine the references of Neufeld's and Powderly's disclosures.

As to Claims 5, 13 and 20, Neufeld anticipates method and system of Claims 1, 9 and 16, wherein utilizing the received vendor specific commands for communicating with the management device in response to determining that the one or more vendor specific commands are not intended for the emulated device comprises:

determining coordinates of a user input cursor on a remote computer system (as stated in par. 0048, lines 1-10, In the operation of the remote management controller, the I/O processor periodically **reads** the **video graphics data** from the frame buffer to determine **cursor coordinates** and whether the **data** has **changed**);

*and returning the coordinates to the host computer in response to the received vendor specific commands (as stated in par. 0048, lines 1-10, If the data has changed, the I/O processor will compress the video graphics data and transmit the data to the remote console via one of the communications devices, modem or NIC. The remote console will decompress and decode the data stream and display it at the remote console for viewing by the user and vice-versa.*

*Powderly also teaches, second process that the processor 26 runs is a VG\_remoter( ) process. This process is responsible for determining changes in the representation of the console screen (generated by the graphics controller 22 in response to commands from the host computer system 14), packaging information representing those changes into TCP/IP segments, and sending the TCP/IP segments to the remote client program. The VGA\_remoter( ) process is additionally responsible for receiving keystroke and mouse movement information from the remote client, converting that information into keyboard/mouse controller queue entries, and then placing those entries into the keyboard/mouse controller 46 of the host computer system 14, as stated in col. 8, lines 26-38).*

*Examiner uses same rational as of preceding Claims to combine the references of Neufeld's and Powderly's disclosures.*

***As to Claims 6, 10, 11, 17, and 18, Neufeld anticipates method and system of Claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein the first communication standard comprises the SCSI standard, the second communication standard comprises the USB standard, and***

wherein the emulated device comprises a USB mass storage device (as stated in par. 0065, lines 1-4 and par. 0062, lines 1-10, wide range of **USB devices** and virtual USB **peripherals** could be **emulated** by the input output processor of the **remote server management controller** via the **USB interface, RS-232 interface, USB Ethernet controllers** and **SCSI controllers**. **USB storage devices** floppy drives and CD drives provide additional capability from a remote management point of view because the **USB interface** allows the **remote server management controller** to act as a host for hot-pluggable **storage devices**. This capability allows **remote server management controller** to mount additional storage volumes to the managed server in an OS-independent fashion).

*Powderly also teaches, the server program is executing on the host processor 50, and because the host system BIOS 51 completes its normal execution, all of the callable functions of the system BIOS (invoked using the INT instruction), such as the keyboard services (INT 16 h), video services (INT 10 h), disk services (INT 13 h), serial communications services (INT 14 h), system services (INT 15 h), parallel printer services (INT 17 h), and others can be invoked by the server program 134. Thus, this aspect of the present invention provides a means to invoke the functionality of the host system BIOS via the communications between the communications client 140 on the adapter card 18 and the server program 134 on the host computer system 14. For example, to request the server program 134 to make a particular call to a system BIOS function, the communications client 140 can pass a pre-defined op-code for that function to the server program 134, along with any parameters required to carry out the*

*function. The server program 134 can be coded to recognize the pre-defined op-code and to make the appropriate call to the desired system BIOS function. Any results can then be reported back to the communications client 140. , as stated in col. 11, lines 3-24).*

*Powderly also teaches, In the preferred embodiment, the peripheral device interface controller 48 comprises a Small Computer Systems Interface (SCSI) controller implemented, as stated in col. 10, lines 3-5).*

*Examiner uses same rational as of preceding Claims to combine the references of Neufeld's and Powderly's disclosures.*

#### ***Response to Arguments***

**6.** Examiner has considered, Office Actions cited by Application on IDS and signed IDS have been attached to this Office Action.

**7.** Applicant's arguments, with regards to **Claims 1, and 3-20**, filed March 17, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments, on page 12-16, as updated search resulted in new grounds of rejections with additional reference of Powderly.

Therefore, in view of the above reasons, Examiner maintains rejections.

**Action Final**

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Conclusion**

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muktesh G. Gupta whose telephone number is 571-270-5011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. -5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MG

/John Follansbee/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151